

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/576,269	05/22/2000	Michael J. Cummings	A0000278-04-CWA	. 2684
75	7590 09/20/2004		EXAMINER	
Charles W Almer			DEXTER, CLARK F	
Warner-Lamber			ADTIBUT	DARED MIRARED
201 Tabor Road	d		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Morris Plains,	NJ 07950		3724	_
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004	22

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
· Office Action Summany	09/576,269	CUMMINGS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Clark F. Dexter	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 € 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition of	s action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	·	s
Disposition of Claims			
4)	7 <u>-41,43,44 and 49-51</u> is/are are rejected.	e withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	-
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•		(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Oπice Action or form P1O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apprity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
		• • • ·	-
Attachment(s)	🗂		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 16,17,18,21.		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on October 29, 2003 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements filed on October 29, 2003 (paper no. 16), November 5, 2003 (paper no. 17), December 9, 2003 (paper no. 18) and August 11, 2004 (paper no. 21) have been received and the references listed thereon have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-4, 25-29, 32, 35, 36 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 2, "body" is vague and indefinite as to what disclosed structure it referrs, particularly since the term is not specifically defined in the specification (i.e., what disclosed structure corresponds to the term), and it is suggested in the specification to specify which components correspond to this term.

In claim 32, line 2, "handle" is vague and indefinite as to what disclosed structure it refers, particularly since the term is not specifically defined in the specification (i.e., what disclosed structure corresponds to the term), and it is suggested in the specification to specify which components correspond to this term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 25-28, 32, 35 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gross, pn 1,551,388.

Gross discloses a shaving assembly with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including a plurality of openings (e.g., 5) that are adjacent and surround the razor cartridge receiving area.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gross, pn 1,551,388.

Gross discloses a shaving assembly with every structural limitation of the claimed invention as described above. In the alternative, if it is argued that Gross does not disclose a plurality of side walls as set forth in claim 45, the Examiner takes Official notice that such a handle configuration, for example a square/rectangular configuration,

Application/Control Number: 09/576,269

Art Unit: 3724

is old and well known in the art and provides various known benefits relative to round handle configurations including allowing a user to "feel" the blade location without having to look at the shaver, e.g., by feeling the wider flat walls. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a plurality of side walls for the well known benefits including those described above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. Claims 4, 29, 36, 47 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gross, pn 1,551,388.

Gross discloses a shaving assembly with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention but lacks (a) openings/apertures within the razor cartridge receiving area and (b) lacks the razor cartridges permanently secured to the cap of the body.

Regarding (a), the Examiner takes Official notice that it is old and well known in the art to provide openings/apertures within the razor or razor cartridge receiving area for various well known benefits including providing lubricant directly on the blade to further facilitate a smooth and effective shaving action. Pica, pn 2,037,588, Wolpert, pn 2,455,152 and Schauble, pn 4,809,432 are examples of razors with such an opening/aperture configuration. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide openings/apertures within the razor or razor cartridge receiving area for various well known benefits including those described above.

Regarding (b), the Examiner takes Official notice that it is old and well known in the art to provide such a razor configuration for various well known benefits including Art Unit: 3724

providing a cartridge configuration for improved safety in handling razors, e.g., during assembly thereof, while substantially eliminating the possibility of losing or misplacing the razor blade of the shaving assembly once assembled (i.e., to the cap). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide one or more razor cartridges permanently secured to the cap for the well known benefits including those described above.

Page 5

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark F. Dexter whose telephone number is (703)308-1404. The examiner can be reached Monday through Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on (703)308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd September 13, 2004